

April 2, 1984

LB 1064

cuts off the money to the consumer has to be looked at very carefully I think by those of us who are determining what the policy is going to be. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Jacobson.

SENATOR JACOBSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would suggest to Senator Beutler that he has one too many steps in here, that he has got the rancher buying supplies from the feed dealer or the elevator. I don't think there is many instances where the rancher does this because he sells these calves off of grass and doesn't have any feed in them, and the first time that the feed is sold it is sold from the elevator or the feed store to the feeder. So there would be no example of a lien filed on these calves when they are sold by the rancher to the feeder. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lamb, would you like to close on your amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, my only closing would be an answer partially maybe...a partial answer to Senator Vickers in that there is no attempt here to protect the banker further than they are already protected because they are already first in line ordinarily when there is a problem and in the negotiations regarding this amendment the bankers were not part of that. It was really a discussion between the feed dealers and the feeders in that regard, and so if there is something in there, and I don't believe there is, that further protects the banker over the protection they have already, it certainly is not intentional and I don't believe it is in there. I would ask that the amendment be adopted.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the return of the bill to Select File for an amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 3 nays on the motion to return the bill, Mr. President.